

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,904	0	1/22/2004	James W. Woodcock	718721.1	1903	
27128	7590	08/21/2006		EXAMINER		
		DERS PEPER MA	BATSON, VICTOR D			
720 OLIV SUITE 24	E STREET 00			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ST. LOUIS, MO 63101					
				DATE MAILED: 08/21/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/707,904	WOODCOCK ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Victor Batson	3671		

	Eygumai	Aitoille	
`\	Victor Batson	3671	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the tollow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, affortice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contract of	Appeal. To avoid abaidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	•		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		·
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply original three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	•		ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) They are not deemed to place the application in below	• •	ducina or cimplifuina	the issues for
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	life issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	, , ,		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	: See Continuation Sheet.		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it hefore or on the date of filing a Ni	ntice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ils to provide a 1).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	•	1 - 1 +	
		Victor Batson	
		<b>Primary Examiner</b>	

Art Unit: 3671

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The previous prior art rejection has been overcome, and previously rejected claims 1-8 are allowable.

Continuation of 13. Other: Although claims 1-8 have been indicated as being allowable, the application still contains claim 9, withdrawn with traverse.